









Testing for Covid-19

- Diagnostic tests for current infection
 - Molecular tests (genetic material) and antigen testing (protein fragments)
 - Reported rate of false negatives for molecular low as 2% and as high as 37% and for antigen, false negative rates as high as 50%, which is why antigen tests are not favored by the FDA as a single test for active infection.
 - · Antigen testing requires confirmation with molecular testing
 - Pooled Saliva testing 95% specific. collection easier, no stabilizing medium required, and no cold transport required, and helps in saving supplies. Good for Surveillance.
 - Sensitivity reduces by 12-15% with larger pooled testing relative to individual testing \uparrow False Neg
 - When the prevalence is 3% or more, therefore, smaller pools of 5 will result in the optimal number of tests overall. But with lower prevalence, larger pool sizes of 10 or 20 will reduce the number of tests required
 - Researchers report that a prevalence of 0.5%, just over 1,300 tests would be enough to cover a population of 10,000 people.
 - This would mean saving over \$260,000 by pooled testing vs. individual testing, given that tests typically cost \$30 each, while still identifying 43-50 infections

Testing for Covid-19

- Diagnostic tests for past exposure
 - Serology Testing
 - SARS-CoV-2 serology tests cannot be used to definitively determine if a patient has developed protective immunity.
 - SARS-CoV-2 serology testing should not be used to diagnose acute or recent COVID-19.
 - Types of Antibody Testing are broadly classified to detect either binding or neutralizing antibodies
 - Binding antibody detection –These tests use purified proteins of SARS-CoV-2, not live virus, and can be performed in lower biosafety level laboratories (e.g., BSL-2)
 - Neutralizing antibody detection: FDA has not yet authorized the use of neutralization tests for SARS-CoV-2
 - Currently, there is no substantive performance advantage of assays whether they test for IgG, IgM and IgG, or total antibody

Final CDC Guidance on Serology Testing Use

- Recommendations for persons who test positive for anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies
- The presence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies indicates a previous infection and possibly at least some degree of immunity or protection against future SARS-CoV-2 infection.
 - However, until the durability and duration of immunity are established, it cannot be assumed that individuals who test positive for SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, including total antibody, IgM, IgG, or IgA, are protected from future infection.
- Asymptomatic persons who test positive by serologic testing without recent history of a COVID-19 confirmed or compatible illness have a low likelihood of active infection and should follow general recommendations to prevent infection with SARS-CoV-2. They should continue with normal activities, including work.
- Persons who have had a COVID-19 compatible or confirmed illness should follow previous guidance regarding when to resume normal activities, including work, regardless of the presence of antibodies.
- There should be no change in clinical practice or use of (PPE) by health care workers and first responders who test positive for SARS-CoV-2 antibody.

https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/resources/antibody-tests-guidelines.html





Remdesivir

- US National Institutes of Health study involving 1,063 patients found that patients given remdesivir for 10 days recovered 4 days sooner than those given a placebo, but there was no significant difference in death rate between those who received remdesivir (7.1%) or placebo (11.9%) (hazard ratio, 0.70; 95% CI, 0.47 to 1.04)
- Follow-up on the first study
 - RCT Effect of Remdesivir vs Standard Care on Clinical Status at 11 Days in Patients With Moderate COVID-19; CD Spinner, et al. JAMA. 2020;324(11):1048-1057
 - Findings Among patients with moderate COVID-19, those randomized to a 10-day course of remdesivir did not have a statistically significant difference in clinical status compared with standard care at 11 days after initiation of treatment. Patients randomized to a 5-day course of remdesivir had a statistically significant difference in clinical status compared with standard care, <u>but the difference was of uncertain clinical importance</u>.

Convalescent Plasma

• Mayo-led national Expanded Access Program (EAP)

Effect of Convalescent Plasma on Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19: Initial Three-Month Experience (pre-print so not peer reviewed)

- Design: Open-label, Multi-center (Over 2800 facilities in US and territories
- Participants: Adult participants enrolled and transfused under the purview of the US Convalescent Plasma EAP program between April 4 and July 4, 2020 who were hospitalized with (or at risk of) severe or life threatening acute COVID-19 respiratory syndrome.
- Intervention: Transfusion of at least one unit of human COVID-19 convalescent plasma using standard transfusion guidelines at any time during hospitalization.
- Convalescent plasma was donated by recently-recovered COVID-19 survivors, and the antibody levels in the units collected were unknown at the time of transfusion.
- Main Outcomes and Measures: Seven and thirty-day mortality.

https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.08.12.20169359v1 Last Accessed 9/22/2020

Convalescent Plasma -continued

- Results:
 - 35,322 transfused patients with heterogeneous demographic and clinical characteristics.
 - This cohort included a high proportion of critically-ill patients, with 52.3% in the intensive care unit (ICU) and 27.5% receiving mechanical ventilation at the time of plasma transfusion
 - Timing Important:
 - 7 day mortality rate was 8.7% [95% CI 8.3%-9.2%] in patients transfused within 3 days of COVID-19 diagnosis but 11.9% [11.4%-12.2%] in patients transfused 4 or more days after diagnosis (p<0.001)
 - **Concentration of IgG Important**: a gradient of mortality was seen in relation to IgG antibody levels in the transfused plasma
 - high IgG plasma seven-day mortality was 8.9% (6.8%, 11.7%); for recipients of medium IgG plasma mortality was 11.6% (10.3%, 13.1%); and for recipients of low IgG plasma mortality was 13.7% (11.1%, 16.8%) (p=0.048).

Status of the Vaccine

- One coronavirus vaccine has been approved.
 - Sputnik V formerly known as Gam-COVID-Vac and developed by the Gamaleya Research Institute in Moscow – was approved by the Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation on 11 August.
- The US government has chosen three vaccine candidates to fund for Phase 3 trials under Operation Warp Speed: Moderna's mRNA-1273, The University of Oxford and AstraZeneca's AZD1222, and Pfizer and BioNTech's BNT162
- <u>https://www.raps.org/news-and-articles/news-articles/2020/3/covid-19-vaccine-tracker</u>